

Comments CL

	Comment	Ref	Discussion
1a	Objective 1 If elderly vacate home to go into smaller homes, their houses will become available, so no need for lots of new houses	3	A fair point, but perhaps more of a social issue. As we are not demanding more 'market' houses this doesn't impact on any of our proposals
1b *	Any housing must be offered to locals first	11, 68	With Oak Lane this was part of a Section 106 agreement with respect to social housing, so we could ask for a similar agreement. This could not apply to any other type of housing.
1c *	Bigger bedrooms	14	There are standards for minimum sizes of bedrooms in new build properties. We could ask developers to go beyond these.
1d	Exclude Persimmon from building again	21, 31	Not applicable to Plan
1e	Low-cost housing should not be tiny or overpriced	25, 71	There is nothing we can do about cost, but see response to 1c regarding size
1f	Homes for local residents not property portfolios	29	See response to 1b
1g	If new houses built, then new services needed, e.g. bus, shops	30	In terms of services this is dealt with in Objective 5. With the bus there is a Call Connect service. There doesn't seem to be a large demand for a scheduled service.
1h	More houses means more cars means more pollution	30	Agreed. So we want a limited number of houses appropriate to the needs of the village.
1i *	Not clear how starter homes can match existing density	32	Fair point, and it also depends on which area of density we are referring to. Objective 3 needs to be revisited to iron out possible contradictions
1j	No new houses; village too big	54	See response to 1h
1k	Some units should be built with workshops	59	Not clear what this comment means, but perhaps dealt with in Objective 5.
1l *	Better infrastructure needed before more housing built, esp. homes for elderly	65	Appropriate location of homes for elderly could help. Objective 4 Proposal 3 partly deals with this
1m	Younger people need houses as well	68	Dealt with in Proposal 2
2a	Objective 2 All new buildings should have water butt to water the garden	6	Proposal 2 amended to include 'minimum requirement an installed water butt'.

2b	Who pays for public electric car charging?	7	FAQ section would explain that developer would pay for installation, and user pay for electricity via a charge card
2c *	New houses will need decent sized gardens	12	Currently no regulations on minimum size. We will further discuss this point.
2d *	New developments should have high-quality construction	23, 24	North Northants Core Spatial strategy follows 'Building for Life' proposals which should ensure this, but worth emphasising
2e	More bike spaces needed for new houses	41	See proposal 5
2f	Drains need to be cleared regularly	46	This is the job of East Northants Council
2g	Water harvesting v. expensive. Run-off should be improved	59	See Proposals 3 & 4
3a *	Objective 3 Too many houses close together. Keep green spaces within village.	3	Steering Group is working on list of 'Green spaces' to be approved/amended/added to in forthcoming consultation
3b	An artist's impression is too unreliable	7, 59	Proposal 5 amended to bolster this: '...to include adjacent properties and accurate rooflines.'
3c	Density already too high	7, 22, 34	The Neighbourhood Plan only deals with <i>future</i> building, and Proposals 2 & 3 seek to control density
3d	Too much digging up roads and pavements	8	Not relevant for Neighbourhood Plan, but Parish Council trying to improve pavements
3e	Recent developments too dense	12, 73	See response to 3c above
3f *	Hedges should be protected like stone walls	12	Proposal 4 amended to include '...and defined hedges...' Steering Group will propose list of 'protected' hedges for consultation.
3g	No more building	14, 15	Local Plans allow for more building, and we cannot alter this. The Neighbourhood Plan is trying to ensure that the new building we do get is the sort that we need.
3h *	New development should be integrated into village not tacked on	23	Location of new development will be discussed at next consultation event once proposals from landowners have been received.

3i	New development should be in keeping with traditional look	25	See Proposals 2 & 3
3j *	No large-scale developments	25, 31, 61, 71	Proposal 1 amended to include: 'Developments of more than 25 houses at any one time will not be supported'.
3k	New developments must plant trees & bushes	37,41	Proposal 3 amended; '...key landscape features <i>and the planting of trees and bushes within the development.</i> '
3l	Pavements need to be restored as v. shabby	41, 56, 60	Parish Council working on this
3m	Pavements not suitable for mobility scooters	28	See 3l above
3n	Stone walls should be repaired where practicable	41, 59	See Proposal 4 for new development. Most stone walls responsibility of house owners
3o	'The Built Environment' is not proper English	43	Suggestions for improvement?
3p	Sovereign Grange should not be used as measure of 'existing density'	55	Proposals 1-3 designed to deal with this
3q	Sovereign Grange an eyesore	56	Objective 3 designed to ensure it isn't repeated
3r	Consider noise impact of new developments	61	Not sure how we can solve this.
3s	New developments should be 'structurally divorced from the village'	34	Building not allowed in open countryside.
3t	Encourage cul-de-sacs & bungalows for safety & community spirit	72	Building for Life proposals deal with safety and community spirit
3u	New houses to have stone walls or old bricks	74	Proposals 1-3 deal with 'look' of new houses
4a	Objective 4 Mini-roundabout on Wood Rd a danger. 20MPH limit would be good	4,41	
4b	Increasing parking for houses increases car use in village, which we should be discouraging	10	
4c	Extra parking will mean houses will have to be built further from centre	7	
4d	Make it safe to cycle round the village	14	
4e	More traffic calming measures	15, 24, 47	
4f	Parking on verges and sidewalks should stop and these be repaired	16, 18, 41, 54	
4g	Signs needed to stop HGVs going through village centre	17	
4h	Speeding an issue	17, 54	
4i	On-road parking deters HGVs	70	
4j	Redundant street signs/bus stops to be removed	20	
4k	On-street parking an issue	22, 56, 70	

4l	Parking & traffic management needed to return streets to pedestrians	23	
4m	Roads an 'accident waiting to happen'.	27	
4n	Bus service needed to reduce car journeys	38, 57, 58, 75	
4o	New developments need 1 parking space per bedroom, minimum 2	38	
4p	Right of Way between Wood Rd & West St. for shop access needed	39	
4q	More consideration for disabled access, esp. along Willow Lane & Orchard Lane	40	
4r	Bollards needed at top of Eagle Lane near shop to protect pedestrians	45	
4s	No house needs more than 2 cars; car-sharing should be encouraged	46, 66	
4t	Parking spaces needed for 3-5 cars per house	54,59	
4u	Re-instate the railway	54	
4v	'To hell with electric chargers'	59	
4w	One-way system in West St.	59, 69	
4x	West St. an obstacle course	60	
4y	Reduce cars travelling around village	61	
4z	Road surfacing under railway bridge & Park St.	63	
4aa	Larger developments should provide additional parking at service centres	73	
4ab	Proposal 2 won't work; people park where the car stops	76	
5a	Objective 5 Plinths for mobile shop units	7	
5b	Loss of Post Office regrettable	23, 44, 62	
5c	More shops needed, especially for elderly	28	
5d	Keep shop going	61	
5e	Pub plays big role in community & should be mentioned	42	
5f	Keep surgery	62	
5g	Surgery in old Endowed School	64	
5h	Children's assault course play area in Oak Lane	64	
6a	Objective 6 Where & what is King's Cliffe Banks SSSI?	1	
6b	Paths should be used by cyclists as well	12	
6c	Would village path encourage cyclists to race along it?	13	
6d	Dog bins needed	13	
6e	No problem with accessing green spaces around village	22	
6f	Green spaces & footpaths need	24	

	protecting		
6g	Morehay Lane needs proper management	46	
6h	Footpaths not for horses	46	
6i	Enough footpaths & access already; no new ones	52	
6j	Keep wild spaces secret and wild	59, 67	
6k	Better signposting on walks	63	
6l	Footpaths need easy access	68	
7a	Other Good plan	2,5,9,35, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 66	
7b	Can infrastructure, e.g. sewage, cope with more houses	3	
7c	Middle school site could be homes for elderly & surgery	36	
7d	Dog fouling a problem	56	
7e	Bring back the railway	15	
7f	Playgrounds could be enhanced	24	
7g	Objectives are reactive. Need clearer, positive aims	26	Many are reactive, but this is inevitable if we are to protect the village against unwanted and inappropriate development. There are, however, positive Aims and Vision, and several of the proposals do contain plans, or projects, that can lead the village forward.
7h	More parking spaces & toilets needed for ramblers	27	
7i	A lot of proposals are adequately covered in planning guidance	32	
7j	Endowed School site should be protected from development	33	
7k	Litter problem on Wansford Rd.	37	
7l	Important to attract younger people to village	38	
7m	Litter + dog bins should be removed & people take litter home	41	
7n	Schemes ambitious but unrealistic	45	
7o	Plan only deals with long-term future	56	
7p	CCTV needed at all exits/entrances to village	56	
7q	Improve village character	56	

*
= Point to be considered further

